CONTACT INFORMATION
Instructor: Lucien Lamoureux, J.D., Ph.D.
Office: I will be meeting students in B rescia’s Mercato Cafeteria
Office Hours: Wed: 9:30-11:30 am.
Phone or Text: 519-702-6517 (cell)
E-mail: llamour2@uwo.ca

CLASS INFORMATION
Mon: 8:30-10:30 am
Wed: 8:30 – 9:30 am
Classroom: HC-W108
Website: https://owl.uwo.ca/portal

COURSE DESCRIPTION
A seminar course involving the extended examination of major real-world cases in business ethics. Sample areas for examination: pharmaceuticals, the automotive industry, mining, the petroleum industry. The goal of this course is to illuminate the fundamental tension in business activity created by values of truth and profit.

COURSE LEARNING OUTCOMES

Upon successful completion of this course, students will be able to:

1. Discuss competing points of view on issues in business ethics.
2. Evaluate conflicting philosophical arguments related to these issues.
3. Formulate well-reasoned solutions to practical dilemmas.
4. Persuade others of a well-reasoned stance or action.
5. Demonstrate effective writing and skillful critical and reflective thinking.

Students may vary in their competency levels on these outcomes. They can expect to achieve these outcomes if they honour course policies, attend classes regularly, complete all assigned work in good faith and on time, and meet all other course expectations of them as students.

ANTIREQUISITE(S)
None. Students wishing to audit the course should consult with the instructor prior to or during the first week of classes.

PREREQUISITE(S)
Philosophy 2074 F/G
COURSE STRUCTURE AND CONTENT

3 lecture hours/week; 0.5 course.

Lectures will be integrated with reading materials, legal cases, visual presentations, and group discussions.

COURSE MATERIALS

Required texts:
On Bullshit, Frankfurt, Harry, Knopf, Princeton (2005). Additional readings will be posted in OWL.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class Participation</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Questions</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essay</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Exam</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVALUATION

Class Participation

This course uses an active learning method, which requires much greater involvement of the student in class than the traditional lecture method. The very nature of this approach demands a high level of student attendance, preparation and contribution in class.

At the end of each class the participation of a student will be assessed on the basis of professionalism and influence according to a grading rubric posted on OWL.

a. Professionalism: Aside from prompt attendance, in the first class students will consider matters such as attentiveness, etiquette and technology use and determine the criteria of professionalism.

b. Influence: Students will be evaluated on the basis critical thinking and the sharing of information.

Note: Any student who, without academic accommodation, misses more than 25% of scheduled classes without academic accommodation will receive a class participation grade of “0” for the course.
Case Questions

As noted in the reading syllabus below, students are expected to regularly submit answers to questions posed in relation to the readings and case studies. The specific questions are posted in OWL. Answers will be assessed according to a grading rubric posted on OWL.

Essay

Format: 5 double-spaced pages, i.e. approximately 1250 words. Hardcopy to be submitted in class on the due date.

Plagiarism Check: Essays must also be submitted electronically to OWL on the due date to be evaluated through turnitin. Essays not submitted to OWL will not receive a grade.

Marking Criteria: Each paper will be graded out of 50 according to the following criteria:

  Understanding: How well does the author understand and make judicious use of the relevant course material? How well does s/he understand the complexity of the issues involved? [15 marks]

  Argument: Does the author use cogent arguments to support his or her position? Do the claims made in different parts of the paper follow from one another and are they consistent? [15 marks]

  Clarity: Is the author’s position clear, with an explicitly articulated thesis, and is the paper clearly written overall? Does the paper answer the questions asked? Could another student at the same level who is not enrolled in the course understand the paper? [10 marks]

  Organization: Does the author reveal a plan for the paper at the outset and does s/he follow that plan? Does the paper have an explicit overall direction? [5 marks]

  Style: Does the author’s paper use correct grammar and syntax? Have the guidelines for proper format been followed? Has the author cited sources where necessary, following a recognized style? [5 marks]

Final Exam

The format may short answer and/or essay. Further details will be provided to the class later in the term.
# Reading Syllabus (subject to revision)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Readings</th>
<th>Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Sept. 9, 11 | **Truth**        | Frankfurt, *On Truth*  
Kant, excerpt from *Fundamental Principles of the Metaphysics of Morals* (OWL)  
Case Study: *Tylenol’s Rebound* (pp. 36-39) | On the first of the two dates |
|             | a. Why care?     |                                                                         |                      |
| Sept. 16, 18| **Bluffing**     | Carr, *Is Business Bluffing Ethical?* (text, pp. 18-23)  
Case Study: *The Job Negotiation* (text, p 65) | Case Questions #1 (2%) |
| Sept. 23, 25| **Bullshit**     | Frankfurt, *On Bullshit*  
Jackall, *Moral Mazes: Bureaucracy and Managerial Work* (pp. 414-428)  
Case Study: *The Dalkon Shield* (pp. 173-180)  
Case Study: *Volvo’s Crushing Blow* (pp. 65-68) | Case Questions #2 (3%) |
| Sept. 30;   | **Rational Egoism** | Rand, excerpt from *Atlas Shrugged.* (OWL)  
Maitland, *The Human Face of Self-Interest* (OWL)  
Case Study: *Not a Fool, Not a Saint* (pp. 429-431)  
Case Study: *Purifying an Image: Baxter International and the Dialyzer Crisis* (pp. 55-63)  
Case Study: The New Year’s Eve Crisis (pp. 154-159) | Case Questions #3 (4%) |
| Oct. 2      | a. Arguments     |                                                                         |                      |
| Oct. 7, 9   | **Criticisms**   | Gini and Marcoux, *Ethics, Business and Business Ethics* (pp. 1-16)  
Plato, excerpt from the *Republic* (OWL)  
Case Study: *The Parmalat Affair: Europe’s Largest Bankruptcy Scandal* (pp. 126-132)  
Case Study: *Shoe Sales* (pp. 64-65) | Case Questions #4 (3%) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Subtopics</th>
<th>Readings</th>
<th>Case Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 16</td>
<td>The Public Corporation</td>
<td>a. Law</td>
<td>Documentary: <em>The Corporation</em> (viewed in class)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 21</td>
<td></td>
<td>b. Implications</td>
<td>Malden Mills: <em>When Being a Good Company Isn’t Good Enough</em> (pp. 432-437)</td>
<td>Case Questions #5 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case Study: <em>The Wal-Mart Way</em> (pp. 159-168)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case Study: <em>The Ford Pinto</em> (pp. 140-147)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case Study: <em>Uptown, Dakota, and PowerMaster</em> (pp. 88-92)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case Study: <em>Volkswagen Emissions Scandal</em> (OWL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 23</td>
<td>Corporate Evil</td>
<td>a. Radical Evil</td>
<td>Kant, excerpt from <em>Religion Within the Limits of Reason Alone</em> (OWL)</td>
<td>Case Questions #6 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case Study: <em>Selling Your Sole at Birkenstock</em> (pp. 170-172)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case Study: <em>Into the Mouth of Babes</em> (text, pp. 29-36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case Study: <em>Kozlowski’s Tyco – “I am the Company!”</em> (115-125)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case Study: “<em>The Good Old Boys at WorldCom</em>” (pp. 132-140)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 30</td>
<td></td>
<td>b. Banal Evil</td>
<td>Arendt, excerpt from <em>Eichmann in Jerusalem</em> (OWL)</td>
<td>Case Questions #7 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bernstein, excerpt from <em>Radical Evil</em> (OWL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arendt, <em>Thinking and Moral Considerations: A Lecture</em> (OWL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case: <em>Sears Auto Shock</em> (p. 149-154)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dolecheck et al, <em>Ethics Take It From the Top</em> (pp. 398-405)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case Study: <em>The Parable of Sadhu</em> (text, pp. 23-29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case Study: <em>Merck and Roy Vagelos: The Values of Leaders</em> (pp. 437-439)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Nov. 27 | Dec. 2 | b. Followers | Wills, The Call of Leaders (pp. 391-398)  
Davis, Some Paradoxes of Whistleblowing (pp. 45-55)  
Duska, Whistleblowing and Employee Loyalty (pp. 41-45)  
Gentile, Ways of Thinking About Our Values in the Workplace (OWL)  
Case Study: Rhonda Delgado and the Compromised CEO (OWL) | Case Questions #9 (3%) |
| Dec. 4 | c. Citizens | Bakan: excerpt from The Corporation (OWL) | Essay |

**Appendix to Course Outlines: Academic Policies & Regulations 2019/2020**

**Prerequisite and Antirequisite Information**

Students are responsible for ensuring that they have successfully completed all course prerequisites and that they have not completed any course antirequisites. Unless you have either the requisites for this course or written special permission from your Dean to enrol in it without them, you may be removed from this course and it will be deleted from your record. If you enrol in this course despite having already taken an antirequisite you may be removed from this course and it will be deleted from your record. Removals for these reasons may not be appealed. You will receive no adjustment to your fees in the event that you are dropped from a course for failing to have the necessary prerequisites or for having already taken the antirequisites.

**Conduct of Students in Classes, Lectures, and Seminars**

Membership in the community of Huron University College and Western University implies acceptance by every student of the principle of respect for the rights, responsibilities, dignity and well-being of others and a readiness to support an environment conducive to the intellectual and personal growth of all who study, work and live within it. Upon registration, students assume the responsibilities that such registration entails. The academic and social privileges granted to each student are conditional upon the fulfillment of these responsibilities.

In the classroom, students are expected to behave in a manner that supports the learning environment of others. Students can avoid any unnecessary disruption of the class by arriving in sufficient time to be seated and ready for the start of the class, by remaining silent while the professor is speaking or another student has the floor, and by taking care of personal
needs prior to the start of class. If a student is late, or knows that he/she will have to leave class early, be courteous: sit in an aisle seat and enter and leave quietly.

Please see the Code of Student Conduct at:

**Technology**

It is not appropriate to use technology (such as, but not limited to, laptops, cell phones) in the classroom for non-classroom activities. Such activity is disruptive and is distracting to other students and to the instructor, and can inhibit learning. Students are expected to respect the classroom environment and to refrain from inappropriate use of technology and other electronic devices in class.

**Attendance Regulations for Examinations**

A student is entitled to be examined in courses in which registration is maintained, subject to the following limitations:

1) A student may be debarred from writing the final examination for failure to maintain satisfactory academic standing throughout the year.

2) Any student who, in the opinion of the instructor, is absent too frequently from class or laboratory periods in any course will be reported to the Dean of the Faculty offering the course (after due warning has been given). On the recommendation of the Department concerned, and with the permission of the Dean of that Faculty, the student will be debarred from taking the regular examination in the course. The Dean of the Faculty offering the course will communicate that decision to the Dean of the Faculty of registration.

**Short Absences**: If you miss a class due to a minor illness or other problems, check your course outline for information regarding attendance requirements and make sure you are not missing a test or assignment. Cover any readings and arrange to borrow notes from a classmate. Contact the course instructor if you have any questions.

**Extended Absences**: If you have an extended absence, you should contact the course instructor and an Academic Advisor. Your course instructor and Academic Advisor can discuss ways for you to catch up on missed work and arrange academic accommodations, if appropriate and warranted.

It is important to note that the Academic Dean may refuse permission to write the final examination in a course if the student has failed to maintain satisfactory academic standing throughout the year or for too frequent absence from the class or laboratory.

Please see the policy on Attendance Regulations for Examinations here:

**Class Cancellations**
In the event of a cancellation of class, every effort will be made to post all relevant information on the OWL class site at: https://owl.uwo.ca/portal, and on the Huron website at www.huronuc.on.ca/about/accessibility.

**Academic Student Support Services**

For advice on course selections, degree requirements, and for assistance with requests for medical accommodation, students should email an Academic Advisor in Huron’s Student Support Services at huronsss@uwo.ca. An outline of the range of services offered is found on the Huron website at: www.huronuc.ca/student-life-campus/student-services/academic-advising.

Department Chairs and Program Directors and Coordinators are also able to answer questions about their individual programs. Their contact information can be found on the Huron website at: www.huronuc.ca/student-life-campus/art-social-science and at www.huronuc.ca/student-life-campus/management-and-organizational-studies.

**Adding / Dropping Courses**

If you think that you are too far behind to catch up or that your work load is not manageable, you should consult your Academic Advisor. If you consider reducing your workload by dropping one or more courses, this must be done by the appropriate deadlines. Please refer to the Huron website, huronuc.ca/student-life-campus/student-services/academic-advising or review the list of official Sessional Dates on the Academic Calendar, available here: http://www.westerncalendar.uwo.ca/SessionalDates.cfm.

You should consult with the course instructor and the Academic Advisor who can help you consider alternatives to dropping one or more courses. Note that dropping a course may affect OSAP and/or Scholarship/Bursary eligibility.

**Mental Health & Wellness Support at Huron and at Western**

Students who are stressed, emotionally distressed or in mental health crisis please refer to: huronuc.ca/student-life-campus/student-services/health-wellness for a complete list of options about how to obtain help, or email Huronwellness@huron.uwo.ca to access your wellness staff directly.

Additional supports for Health and Wellness may be found and accessed at Western through www.uwo.ca/uwocom/mentalhealth/.

Huron is committed to providing a safe, welcoming campus for students, staff and faculty by providing confidential assistance to those who have personal safety concerns. Providing a safe and welcoming campus for students, staff and faculty is one of Huron’s top priorities.

The Student Emergency Response Team (SERT) provides medical response to 9-1-1 calls on Main, Brescia and Huron campuses which operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week during the academic year. SERT is dispatched through the campus community Police Service (CCPS) to any medical emergency on campus at (519) 661-3300. For more information about SERT please visit: sert.uwo.ca/about-sert/about-sert/.
Statement on Academic Integrity
The International Centre for Academic Integrity defines academic integrity as "a commitment, even in the face of adversity, to five fundamental values: honesty, trust, fairness, respect, and responsibility. From these values flow principles of behaviour that enable academic communities to translate ideals to action." (CAI Fundamental Values Project, 1999).

A lack of academic integrity is indicated by such behaviours as the following:
- Cheating on tests;
- Fraudulent submissions online;
- Plagiarism in papers submitted (including failure to cite and piecing together unattributed sources);
- Unauthorized resubmission of course work to a different course;
- Helping someone else cheat;
- Unauthorized collaboration;
- Fabrication of results or sources;
- Purchasing work and representing it as one’s own.

Academic Integrity: Importance and Impact

Being at university means engaging with a variety of communities in the pursuit and sharing of knowledge and understanding in ways that are clear, respectful, efficient, and productive. University communities have established norms of academic integrity to ensure responsible, honest, and ethical behavior in the academic work of the university, which is best done when sources of ideas are properly and fully acknowledged and when responsibility for ideas is fully and accurately represented.

In the academic sphere, unacknowledged use of another’s work or ideas is not only an offence against the community of scholars and an obstacle to academic productivity. It may also be understood as fraud and may constitute an infringement of legal copyright.

A university is a place for fulfilling one's potential and challenging oneself, and this means rising to challenges rather than finding ways around them. The achievements in an individual’s university studies can only be fairly evaluated quantitatively through true and honest representation of the actual learning done by the student. Equity in assessment for all students is ensured through fair representation of the efforts by each.

Acting with integrity at university constitutes a good set of practices for maintaining integrity in later life. Offences against academic integrity are therefore taken very seriously as part of the university’s work in preparing students to serve, lead, and innovate in the world at large.

A university degree is a significant investment of an individual’s, and the public’s, time, energies, and resources in the future, and habits of academic integrity protect that investment by preserving the university’s reputation and ensuring public confidence in higher education.

Students found guilty of plagiarism will suffer consequences ranging from a grade reduction to failure in the course to expulsion from the university. In addition, a formal letter documenting the offence will be filed in the Dean’s...
Office, and this record of the offence will be retained in the Dean’s Office for the duration of the student’s academic career at Huron University College.

Statement on Academic Offences
Scholastic offences are taken seriously and students are directed to read the appropriate policy, specifically, the definition of what constitutes a Scholastic Offence, as per the Academic Calendar: http://www.westerncalendar.uwo.ca/PolicyPages.cfm?PolicyCategoryID=1&Command=showCategory&SelectedCalendar=Live&ArchiveID=#SubHeading_189.

Turnitin.com
All required papers may be subject to submission for textual similarity review to the commercial plagiarism detection software under license to the University for a detection of plagiarism. All papers submitted for such checking will be included as source documents in the reference database for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of papers subsequently submitted to the system. Use of the service is subject to the licensing agreement, currently between Western University and Turnitin.com.

Computer-Marked Tests/exams
Computer-marked multiple-choice tests and/or exams may be subject to submission for similarity review by software that will check for unusual coincidences in answer patterns that may indicate cheating.

Clickers
Personal Response Systems (“clickers”) may be used in some classes. If clickers are to be used in a class, it is the responsibility of the student to ensure that the device is activated and functional. Students must see their instructor if they have any concerns about whether the clicker is malfunctioning. Students must use only their own clicker. If clicker records are used to compute a portion of the course grade:
- the use of somebody else’s clicker in class constitutes a scholastic offence
- the possession of a clicker belonging to another student will be interpreted as an attempt to commit a scholastic offence.

Policy on “Special” Accommodation
Students who require special accommodation for tests and/or other course components must make the appropriate arrangements with the Student Development Centre (SDC). Further details concerning policies and procedures may be found at: http://www.sdc.uwo.ca/ssd/index.html.

Policy on “Academic” Accommodation - Medical / Non-Medical Grounds
Students who require academic accommodation for tests and/or other course components must make the appropriate arrangements with the Student Development Centre (SDC). Further details concerning policies and procedures may be found at: http://www.sdc.uwo.ca/ssd/index.html.
(a) **Medical Grounds** for assignments worth 10% or more of final grade: Go directly to Huron Support Services/ Academic Advising, or email huronsss@uwo.ca.

University Senate policy, which can be found at, https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/accommodation_medical.pdf, requires that all student requests for accommodation on medical grounds for assignments worth 10% or more of the final grade be made directly to the academic advising office of the home faculty (for Huron students, the “home faculty” is Huron), with supporting documentation in the form (minimally) of the Senate-approved Student Medical Certificate found at: https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/medicalform.pdf.

The documentation is submitted in confidence and will not be shown to instructors. The advisors will contact the instructor when the medical documentation is received, and will outline the severity and duration of the medical challenge as expressed on the Student Medical Certificate and in any other supporting documentation. The student will be informed that the instructor has been notified of the presence of medical documentation, and will be instructed to work as quickly as possible with the instructor on an agreement for accommodation.

The instructor will not normally deny accommodation where appropriate medical documentation is in place and where the duration it describes aligns with the due date(s) of assignment(s). Before denying a request for accommodation on medical grounds, the instructor will consult with the Dean. The instructor’s decision is appealable to the Dean.

(b) Accommodation on **Medical Grounds** for assignments worth less than 10% of final grade: Consult Instructor Directly

When seeking accommodation on medical grounds for assignments worth less than 10% of the final course grade, the student should contact the instructor directly. The student need only share broad outlines of the medical situation. The instructor may require the student to submit documentation to the academic advisors, in which case she or he will advise the student and inform the academic advisors to expect documentation. The instructor may not collect medical documentation. The advisors will contact the instructor when the medical documentation is received, and will outline the severity and duration of the medical challenge as expressed on the Student Medical Certificate and in any other supporting documentation. The student will be informed that the instructor has been notified of the presence of medical documentation, and will be instructed to work as quickly as possible with the instructor on an agreement for accommodation.

The instructor will not normally deny accommodation where appropriate medical documentation is in place and where the duration it describes aligns with the due date(s) of assignment(s). Before denying a request for accommodation on medical grounds, the instructor will consult with the Dean. The instructor’s decision is appealable to the Dean.

(c) **Non-Medical Grounds**: Consult your Instructor directly.
Where the grounds for seeking accommodation are not medical, the student should contact the instructor directly. Late penalties may apply at the discretion of the instructor. Apart from the exception noted below, academic advisors will not be involved in the process of accommodation for non-medical reasons.

Where a student seeks accommodation on non-medical grounds where confidentiality is a concern, the student should approach an academic advisor with any documentation available. The advisors will contact the instructor after the student’s request is received, and will outline the severity and duration of the challenge without breaching confidence. The student will be informed that the instructor has been notified that significant circumstances are affecting or have affected the student’s ability to complete work, and the student will be instructed to work as quickly as possible with the instructor on an agreement for accommodation. Before denying a request for accommodation where documentation has been submitted to an academic advisor, the instructor will consult with the Dean. The instructor’s decision is appealable to the Dean.

**Requests for Academic Consideration Using the Self-Reported Absence Form**
The full Policy on Academic Consideration for student Absences – Undergraduate Students in First Entry Programs is available at: https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/Academic_Consideration_for_absences.pdf.

Students who experience an unexpected illness or injury or an extenuating circumstance (48 hours or less) that is sufficiently severe to temporarily render them unable to meet academic requirements (e.g., attending lectures or labs, writing tests or midterm exams, completing and submitting assignments, participating in presentations) should self-declare using the online Self-Reported Absence portal. This option should be used in situations where the student expects to resume academic responsibilities within 48 hours or less.

The following conditions are in place for self-reporting of medical or extenuating circumstances:

- **a.** students will be allowed a maximum of two self-reported absences between September and April and one self-reported absence between May and August;

- **b.** any absences in excess of the number designated in clause a above, regardless of duration, will require students to present a Student Medical Certificate (SMC), signed by a licensed medical or mental health practitioner, detailing the duration and severity of illness, or appropriate documentation supporting extenuating circumstances to the Academic Counselling unit in their Faculty of registration no later than two business days after the date specified for resuming responsibilities. Please see section 4 below for more details.

- **c.** The duration of the excused absence will be for a maximum of 48 hours from the time the Self-Reported Absence form is completed through the online portal, or from 8:30 am the following morning if the form is submitted after 4:30 pm;

- **d.** The duration of the excused absence will terminate prior to the end of the 48 hour period should the student undertake significant academic responsibilities (write a test,
submit a paper) during that time;

e. The duration of an excused absence will terminate at 8:30 am on the day following the last day of classes each semester regardless of how many days of absence have elapsed;

f. Self-reported absences will not be allowed for scheduled final examinations; for midterm examinations scheduled during the December examination period; or for final lab examinations scheduled during the final week of term;

g. Self-reporting may not be used for assessments (e.g. midterm exams, tests, reports, presentations, or essays) worth more than 30% of any given course.

h. students must be in touch with their instructors no later than 24 hours after the end of the period covered by the Self-Reported Absence form, to clarify how they will be expected to fulfil the academic expectations they may have missed during the absence.

**Important Dates and Directory at Huron and Western**

For a current and up-to-date list of important dates and campus directories, please visit:

- **Huron – Important Dates:** [https://huronuc.ca/important-dates-and-deadlines](https://huronuc.ca/important-dates-and-deadlines)
- **Western – Academic Calendar & Sessional Dates:** [http://www.westerncalendar.uwo.ca/SessionalDates.cfm](http://www.westerncalendar.uwo.ca/SessionalDates.cfm)
- **Huron Directory – Faculty, Staff and Administration:** [https://huronuc.ca/index.php/contact/contact-directory](https://huronuc.ca/index.php/contact/contact-directory)
- **Western Directory – Faculty, Staff and Administration:** [https://www.uwo.ca/directory.html](https://www.uwo.ca/directory.html)